State of Alaska

Department of Transportation & Public Facilities

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DOCUMENTATION FORM FOR FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION PROJECTS

Pro	oject Name:	C. 15		./
Pro	oject Number (state/federal):	PATEO	ALASIA	
Da	ite:			
CE	E Designation: 23 CFR 771.117()()			
	23 CFR 771.117()()			
Lis	st of Attachments:			
I.	Project Purpose and Need			
II.	Project Description			
III	. Environmental Consequences			
>	For each yes, summarize the activity evaluated and the magnitude of the impact.			
	For any consequence category with an asterisk (*), additional information must be attached	ed such	as an	
	alternatives analysis, agency coordination or consultation, avoidance measures, public no statement.	tices, o	r mitiga	tion
×	Include direct and indirect impacts in each analysis.			
A.	Right-of-Way Impacts	N/A	<u>YES</u>	<u>NC</u>
1.	Additional right-of-way required.			
	 Permanent easements required. 			
	Estimated number of parcels:			
	• Full or partial property acquisition required.			
	Estimated number of full parcels:			
	Estimated number of partial parcels:			
	 Property transfer from state or federal agency required. If yes, list agency in No. 4 below. 			
	• Business or residential relocations required. If yes, summarize the findings of the conceptual stage relocation study in No. 4 below and attach the conceptual stage relocation study.		*	
	Number of relocations:			
	Type of relocation: Residential: Business: Business: Business: Business: Business: Dusiness (Indicate number:) Business (Indicate number:)			
	 Last-resort housing required. 			
2.	Will the project or activity have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority populations and low-income populations as defined in E.O. 12898 (DOT Order 6640.23, December 1998)?			

A.	Right-of-Way Impacts	<u>N/A</u>	YES	<u>NC</u>
3.	The project will involve use of ANILCA land that requires an ANILCA Tile XI approval. If yes, the project is not assigned to the State per the 6004 MOU and the CE must be processed by FHWA.			
4.	Summarize the right-of-way impacts, if any:			
В.	Social and Cultural Impacts	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1.	The project will affect neighborhoods or community cohesion.			
2.	The project will affect travel patterns and accessibility (e.g. vehicular, commuter, bicycle, or pedestrian).			
3.	The project will affect school boundaries, recreation areas, churches, businesses, police and fire protection, etc.			
4.	The project will affect the elderly, handicapped, nondrivers, transit-dependent, minority and ethnic groups, or the economically disadvantaged.			
5.	There are unresolved project issues or concerns of a federally-recognized Indian Tribe [as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(m)]. If yes, the project is not assigned to the State per the 6004 MOU and the CE must be processed by FHWA.			
6.	Summarize the social and cultural impacts, if any:			
C.	Economic Impacts	<u>N/A</u>	YES	<u>NO</u>
1.	The project will have adverse economic impacts on the regional and/or local economy, such as effects on development, tax revenues and public expenditures, employment			
2.	opportunities, accessibility, and retail sales. The project will adversely affect established businesses or business districts.			
3.	Summarize the economic impacts, if any:			
D.	Land Use and Transportation Plans	<u>N/A</u>	YES	<u>NO</u>
1.	Project is consistent with land use plan(s).			
	a. Identify the land use plan(s) and date			
2.	Project is consistent with transportation plan(s).			
	a. Identify the transportation plan(s) and date			
3.	Project would induce adverse indirect and cumulative effects on land use or transportation. <i>If yes, attach analysis</i> .			
4.	Summarize how the project is consistent or inconsistent with the land use plan(s) and transportation plan(s):			

E.	Impacts to Historic Properties	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1.	Does the project involve a road that is included on the "List of Roads Treated as Eligible" in the Alaska Historic Roads PA? If yes, follow the Interim Guidance for Addressing Alaska Historic Roads.			
2.	Does the project qualify as a listed activity that has no potential to cause effects to historic properties? If yes, attach concurrence from the FHWA Area Engineer (non-assigned projects) or Statewide NEPA Manager for 6004-assigned projects.		*	
	a. Indicate the appropriate policy directive or memo that identifies the project as an action with no potential to cause effects to historic properties:			
3.	Is a National Register of Historic Places listed or eligible property in the Area of Potential Effect?			
4.	Date Consultation/Initiation Letters sent Attach copies to this form.			
	a. List consulting parties			
	b. If no letters were sent, explain why not. Attach "Section 106 Proceed Directly to Findings Worksheet", if applicable			
5.	Date "Finding of Effect" Letters sent Attach copies to this form			
	a. State any changes to consulting parties			
6.	List responding consulting parties, comment date, and summarize:			
7.	Are there any unresolved issues with consulting parties? a. If yes, list			
8.	Date SHPO concurred with "Finding of Effect" Attach copy to this form.			
9.	Will there be an adverse effect on a historic property? If yes, attach correspondence (including response from ACHP) and signed MOA. If yes, Programmatic Agreements (PCEs) do not apply.			
10.	Summarize any effects to historic properties. List affected sites (by AHRS number only) and any commitments or mitigative measures. Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI.			
F.	Wetland Impacts	N/A	YES	<u>NO</u>
l.	Project affects wetlands as defined by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). If yes, document public and agency coordination required per E.O. 11990, Protection of Wetlands.	IVI	<u>1123</u>	
	Are the wetlands delineated in accordance with the "Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Alaska Region (Version 2.0) Sept. 2007"? Estimated area of wetland involvement (acres):			
	Estimated fill quantities (cubic yards):			
5.	Estimated dredge quantities (cubic yards):			
	Is a USACE authorization anticipated? If yes, identify type: NWP Individual General Permit Other			

F.	Wetland Impacts	<u>N/A</u>	YES	<u>NO</u>
7.	Wetlands Finding Attach the following supporting documentation as appropriate:			
	Avoidance and Minimization Checklist, and Mitigation Statement			
	Wetlands Delineation.			
	Jurisdictional Determination.			
	 Copies of public and resource agency letters received in response to the request for comments. 			
	a. Are there practicable alternatives to the proposed construction in wetlands? If yes, the project cannot be approved as proposed.			
	b. Does the project include all practicable measures to minimize harm to wetlands? If no, the project cannot be approved as proposed.			
	c. Only practicable alternative: Based on the evaluation of avoidance and minimization alternatives, there are no practicable alternatives that would avoid the project's impacts on wetlands. The project includes all practicable measures to minimize harm to the affected wetlands as a result of construction. If no, the project cannot be approved as proposed.			
8.	Summarize the wetlands impacts and mitigation, if any. Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI.			
G.		<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1.	Project affects a water body.			
2.	Project affects a navigable water body as defined by USCG, (i.e. Section 9).		*	
3.	Project affects Waters of the U.S. as defined by the USACE, Section 404.		*	
4.	Project affects Navigable Waters of the U.S. as defined by the USACE (Section 10)		*	
5.	Project affects fish passage across a stream frequented by salmon or other fish(i.e. Title 16.05.841)			
6.	Project affects a cataloged anadromous fish stream, river or lake (i.e. Title 16.05.871).	П	 *	П
7.	Project affects a designated Wild and Scenic River or land adjacent to a Wild and Scenic River. If yes, the Regional Environmental Manager should consult with the Statewide NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Area Engineer and FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs) to determine applicability of Section 4(f).			
8.	Proposed water body involvement: Bridge Culvert Embankment Fill Relocation Diversion Permanent Other			
9.	Type of stream or river habitat impacted: Spawning Rearing Pool			
	Riffle Undercut bank Other	_		
10.	Amount of fill below (cubic yards): OHW MHW HTL			
11.	Summarize the water body impacts and mitigation, if any. <i>Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI</i> .			

H.	Fish and Wildlife	<u>N/A</u>	YES	<u>NO</u>
1.	Anadromous and resident fish habitat. Any activity or project that is conducted below the ordinary high water mark of an anadromous stream, river, or lake requires a Fish Habitat Permit.			
	a. Database name(s) and date(s) queried:			
	b. Anadromous fish habitat present in project area.		□ *	
	c. Resident fish habitat present in project area		□ □*	
	d. Adverse effect on spawning habitat.		□: □*	님
	e. Adverse effect on rearing habitat.		□ □*	片
	f. Adverse effect on migration corridors.		□*	
	g. Adverse effect on subsistence species.		□*	H
2.	Essential Fish Habitat (EFH). EFH includes any anadromous stream used by any of the five species of Pacific salmon for migration, spawning or rearing, as well as other coastal, nearshore and offshore areas as designated by NMFS.			
	a. Database name(s) and date(s) queried:			
	b. EFH present in project area			
	c. Project proposes construction in EFH. If yes, describe EFH impacts in H.6.			
	d. Project may adversely affect EFH. If yes, attach EFH Assessment.		*	
	e. Project includes conservation recommendations proposed by NMFS. If NMFS conservation recommendations are not adopted, formal notification must be made to NMFS. Summarize the final conservation measures in H.6 and list in Section VI.			
3.	Wildlife Resources:			
	a. Project is in area of high wildlife/vehicle accidents.			
	b. Project would bisect migration corridors.			
	c. Project would segment habitat.			
4.	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. If yes to any below, consult with USFWS and attach documentation of consultation.			
	a. Eagle data source(s) and date(s):			
	b. Project visible from an eagle nesting tree?		□ *	
	c. Project within 330 feet of an eagle nesting tree?		*	
	d. Project within 660 feet of an eagle nesting tree?		*	
	e. Will the project require blasting or other activities that produce extreme loud noises within 1/2 a mile from an active nest?		_*	
	f. Is an eagle permit required?		□ *	
5.	Is the project consistent with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act?			
6.	Summarize fish and wildlife impacts and mitigation, including timing windows, if any. <i>Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI.</i>			
ſ.	Threatened and Endangered Species (T&E)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
	Database name(s) and date(s) queried:			
2. Listed threatened or endangered species present in the project area.				

I.	Threatened and Endangered Species (T&E)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NC</u>
3.	Threatened or endangered species migrate through the project area.		_*	
4.	Designated critical habitat in the project area.		*	
5.	Proposed species present in project area.		*	
6.	Candidate species present in project area.		*	
7.	What is the effect determination for the project? Select one.			
	 Project has no effect on listed or proposed T&E species or designated critical habitat. 			
	b. Project is not likely to adversely affect a listed or proposed T&E species or designated critical habitat. Informal Section 7 consultation is required. Attach consultation documentation, including concurrence from the Federal agency, to this form.			
	c. Project is likely to adversely affect a listed or proposed T&E species or designated critical habitat. If yes, consult the FHWA Area Engineer (non-assigned projects) or Statewide NEPA Manager for 6004-assigned projects.			
8.	Summarize the findings of the consultation, conferencing, biological evaluation, or biological assessment and the opinion of the agency with jurisdiction, or state why no coordination was conducted. <i>Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI</i> .			
J.	Invasive Species	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1.	Database name(s) and date(s) queried:			
2.	Does the project include all practicable measures to minimize the introduction or spread invasive species, making the project consistent with E.O. 13112 (Invasive Species)? <i>If yes, list measures in J.3.</i>			
3.	Summarize invasive species impacts and minimization measures, if any. <i>Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI.</i>			
K .		<u>N/A</u>	YES	<u>NO</u>
1.	Database name(s) and date(s) queried:			
2.	There are potentially contaminated sites within or adjacent to the existing and/or proposed ROW.			Ш
3.	There are identified contaminated sites within or adjacent to the existing and/or proposed ROW.			
4.	Extensive excavation is proposed adjacent to, or within, a known hazardous waste site, or the potential for encountering hazardous waste during construction is high. If yes, attach the hazardous waste investigation report and approved ADEC Corrective Action Plan.		*	
5.	Summarize the hazardous waste impacts and mitigation, if any. Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI			

L.	Air Quality (Conformity)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1.	1. The project is located in an air quality maintenance area or nonattainment area (CO or PM-10 or PM-2.5). If yes, indicate CO or PM-10 or PM-2.5, and complete the remainder of this section.			
2.	The project is included in a conforming Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).			
	a. List dates of FHWA/FTA conformity determination:			
3.	The project is exempt from an air quality analysis per 40 CFR 93.126 (Table 2 and Exempt Projects). If no, a project-level air quality conformity determination is required for CO nonattainment and maintenance areas, and a qualitative project-level analysis is required for both PM-2.5 and PM-10 nonattainment and maintenance areas.			
4.	Have there been a significant change in the scope or the design concept as described in the most recent conforming TIP and LRTP? If yes, describe changes in L.8. In addition, the project must satisfy the conformity rule's requirements for projects not from a plan and TIP, or the plan and TIP must be modified to incorporate the revised project (including a new conformity analysis).			
5.	A CO project-level analysis was completed meeting the requirements of Section 93.123 of the conformity rule. The results satisfy the requirements of Section 93.116(a) for all areas or 93.116(b) for nonattainment areas. Attach a copy of the analysis.		_*	
6.	A PM-2.5 project-level air quality analysis was completed meeting the requirements of Section 93.123 of the conformity rule. The results satisfy the requirements of Section 93.116. Attach a copy of the analysis.		_*	
7.	A PM-10 project-level air quality analysis was completed meeting the requirements of Section 93.123 of the conformity rule. The results satisfy the requirements of Section 93.116. <i>Attach a copy of the analysis</i> .		*	
8.	Summarize air quality impacts, mitigation, and agency coordination, if any. <i>Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI.</i>			
M.	Floodplain Impacts (23 CFR 650, Subpart A)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1.	Project encroaches into the base (100 year) flood plain in fresh or marine waters. Identify floodplain map source and date:		_*	
	If yes, attach documentation of public involvement conducted per E.O. 11988 and 23 CFR 650.109. Consult with the regional or Statewide Hydraulics/Hydrology expert. Attach the required location hydraulic study developed per 23 CFR 650.111. Answer questions M.1.a through d.			
	If no, skip to M.2.			
	a. Is there a longitudinal encroachment into the 100-year floodplain?		*	
	b. Is there significant encroachment as defined by 23 CFR 650.105(q)? If yes, the project cannot be approved as proposed without a finding that the proposed action is the "Only Practicable Alternative" as defined in 23 CFR 650.113. Attach the finding for approval.		*	

Μ.	1. Floodplain Impacts (23 CFR 650, Subpart A)		<u>N/A</u>	YES	<u>NO</u>	
	c.	Project encroaches into a regulatory floodway.		_*		
	d.	The proposed action would increase the base flood elevation one-foot or greater.		*		
2.	Project con	nforms to local flood hazard requirements.				
3.	-	consistent with E.O. 11988 (Floodplain Protection). If no, the project cannot ed as proposed.				
4.		e floodplain impacts and mitigation, if any. Include any commitments or measures in Section VI.				
N.	<u>Noise</u>	Impacts (23 CFR 772)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	
1.	Does the p	roject involve any of the following? If yes, complete N.1.a.				
	If no, a no	ise analysis is not required. Skip to section O.				
	•	Construction of highway on a new location.				
	•	Substantial alteration in vertical or horizontal alignment as defined in 23 CFR 772.5.				
	•	An increase in the number of through lanes.				
	•	Addition of an auxiliary lane (except a turn lane).				
	•	Addition or relocation of interchange lanes or ramps added to a quadrant to complete an existing partial interchange.				
	•	Restriping existing pavement for the purpose of adding a through-traffic lane or an auxiliary lane.				
	•	Addition of a new or substantial alteration of a weigh station, rest stop, rideshare lot or toll plaza.				
		y below which category of land uses are adjacent: A noise analysis is required ands in Categories A through E are identified, and the response to N.1 is 'yes'	•			
	serve a	ry A: Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and n important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is all if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.				
	Catego	ry B: Residential. This includes undeveloped lands permitted for this category.	. 🔲			
	cemete places institut sites, so	ry C (exterior): Active sport areas, amphitheaters, auditoriums, campgrounds, ries, daycare centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, parks, picnic areas, of worship, playgrounds, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit ional structures, radio studios, recording studios, recreation areas, Section 4(f) chools, television studios, trails, and trail crossings. This includes undeveloped ermitted for this category.	_			
	facilitie	ry D (interior): Auditoriums, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical es, places of worship, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional res, radio studios, recording studios, schools, and television studios.				
	_	ry E: Hotels, motels, offices, restaurants/bars, and other developed lands, ies or activities not listed above. This includes undeveloped lands permitted for	 r			

N.	Noise Impacts (23 CFR 772) this category.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
2.	Does the noise analysis identify a noise impact? If yes, explain in N.3			
3.	Summarize the findings of the attached noise analysis and noise abatement worksheet, if applicable:			
0.	. <u>Water Quality Impacts</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NC</u>
1.	Project would involve a public or private drinking water source. If yes, explain in O.7			
2.	Project would result in a discharge of storm water to a Water of the U.S. (per 40 CFR 230.3(s))			
3.	Project would discharge storm water into or affect an ADEC designated Impaired Waterbody. If any of the Impaired Waterbodies have an approved or established Total Maximum Daily Load, describe project impacts in 0.7			
	a. List name(s), location(s), and pollutant(s) causing impairment:			
4.	Estimate the acreage of ground-disturbing activities that will result from the project? acres			
5.	Is there a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) APDES permit, or will runoff be mixed with discharges from an APDES permitted industrial facility?			
	a. If yes, list APDES permit number and type:			
6.	Would the project discharge storm water to a water body within a national park or state park; a national or state wildlife refuge? If yes and Alaska Construction General Permit applies to the project, consultation with ADEC is required at least 30 days prior to planned start of construction activities.			
	Summarize the water quality impacts and mitigation, if any. Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI.			
P.	Construction Impacts	<u>N/A</u>	YES	NO
l.	There will be temporary degradation of water quality.			
2.	There will be a temporary stream diversion.			Ц
3.	There will be temporary degradation of air quality.		\sqcup	Ш
4. -	There will be temporary delays and detours of traffic.			
5.	There will be temporary impacts on businesses.			
6.	There will be temporary noise impacts.			
7.	There will be other construction impacts.			
8.	Summarize construction impacts and mitigation for each 'yes' above. <i>Include any commitments or mitigative measures in Section VI</i> .			

9 of 12

<u>Se</u>	<u>ction 4(f)/6(f)</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Section	on 4(f) (23 CFR 774)			
a.	Does a Section 4(f) resource exist within the project area; or is the project adjacent to a Section 4(f) resource? If yes, attach consultation with the Statewide NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs) to determine applicability of Section 4(f)			
b.	Does an exception listed in 23 CFR 774.13 apply to this project? If yes, attach consultation with the Statewide NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs), and documentation from the official with jurisdiction, if required.			
c.	Does the project result in the "use" of a Section 4(f) property? "Use" includes a permanent incorporation of land, adverse temporary occupancy, or constructive use.			
d.	Has a <i>de minimis</i> impact finding been prepared for the project? <i>If yes, attach the finding.</i>			
e.	Has a Programmatic Section 4(f) Evaluation been prepared for the project? If yes, attach the evaluation.			
f.	Does the project require an Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation? If yes, the project is not assigned to the State per the 6004 MOU and the CE must be processed by FHWA. Attach the evaluation.			
Sectio	n 6(f) (36 CFR 59)			
a.	Were funds from the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCFA) used for improvement to a property that will be affected by this project?			
b.				
Summ	arize Section 4(f)/6(f) involvement, if any:			
. Pe	ermits and Authorizations	<u>N/A</u>	YES	<u>NO</u>
USAC	E, Section 404/10 Includes Abbreviated Permit Process, Nationwide Permit, and			
	,			
	·			
	**			ᆜ
				님
	Permit			
-				
	Sectional b. c. d. e. f. Sectional b. Summ Lead of the control of	NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs) to determine applicability of Section 4(f) b. Does an exception listed in 23 CFR 774.13 apply to this project? If yes, attach consultation with the Statewide NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs), and documentation from the official with jurisdiction, if required. c. Does the project result in the "use" of a Section 4(f) property? "Use" includes a permanent incorporation of land, adverse temporary occupancy, or constructive use. d. Has a de minimis impact finding been prepared for the project? If yes, attach the finding. e. Has a Programmatic Section 4(f) Evaluation been prepared for the project? If yes, attach the evaluation. f. Does the project require an Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation? If yes, the project is not assigned to the State per the 6004 MOU and the CE must be processed by FHWA. Attach the evaluation. Section 6(f) (36 CFR 59) a. Were funds from the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCFA) used for improvement to a property that will be affected by this project? b. Is the use of the property receiving LWCFA funds a "conversion of use" per Section 6(f) of the LWCFA? Attach the correspondence received from the ADNR 6(f) Grants Administrator. Summarize Section 404/10 Includes Abbreviated Permit Process, Nationwide Permit, and General Permit Coast Guard, Section 9 ADF&G Fish Habitat Permit (Title 16.05.871 and Title 16.05.841) Flood Hazard ADEC Non-domestic Wastewater Plan Approval ADEC APDES	Section 4(f) (23 CFR 774) a. Does a Section 4(f) resource exist within the project area; or is the project adjacent to a Section 4(f) resource? If yes, attach consultation with the Statewide NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs) to determine applicability of Section 4(f) b. Does an exception listed in 23 CFR 774.13 apply to this project? If yes, attach consultation with the Statewide NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs), and documentation from the official with jurisdiction, if required. c. Does the project result in the "use" of a Section 4(f) property? "Use" includes a permament incorporation of land, adverse temporary occupancy, or constructive use. d. Has a de minimis impact finding been prepared for the project? If yes, attach the finding. e. Has a Programmatic Section 4(f) Evaluation been prepared for the project? If yes, attach the evaluation. f. Does the project require an Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation? If yes, the project is not assigned to the State per the 6004 MOU and the CE must be processed by FHWA. Attach the evaluation. Section 6(f) (36 CFR 59) a. Were funds from the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCFA) used for improvement to a property that will be affected by this project? b. Is the use of the property receiving LWCFA funds a "conversion of use" per Section 6(f) of the LWCFA? Attach the correspondence received from the ADNR 6(f) Grants Administrator. Summarize Section 404/10 Includes Abbreviated Permit Process, Nationwide Permit, and General Permit Coast Guard, Section 9 ADF&G Fish Habitat Permit (Title 16.05.871 and Title 16.05.841) Flood Hazard ADEC Non-domestic Wastewater Plan Approval ADEC APDES Noise Eagle Permit	Section 4(f) (23 CFR 774) a. Does a Section 4(f) resource exist within the project area; or is the project adjacent to a Section 4(f) resource? If yes, attach consultation with the Statewide NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs) to determine applicability of Section 4(f) b. Does an exception listed in 23 CFR 774.13 apply to this project? If yes, attach consultation with the Statewide NEPA Manager (assigned CEs) or FHWA Environmental Program Manager (non-assigned CEs), and documentation from the official with jurisdiction, if required. c. Does the project result in the "use" of a Section 4(f) property? "Use" includes a permanent incorporation of land, adverse temporary occupancy, or constructive use. d. Has a de minimis impact finding been prepared for the project? If yes, attach the finding. e. Has a Programmatic Section 4(f) Evaluation been prepared for the project? If yes, attach the evaluation. f. Does the project require an Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation? If yes, the project is not assigned to the State per the 6004 MOU and the CE must be processed by FHWA. Attach the evaluation. Section 6(f) (36 CFR 59) a. Were funds from the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCFA) used for improvement to a property that will be affected by this project? b. Is the use of the property receiving LWCFA funds a "conversion of use" per Section 6(f) of the LWCFA? Attach the correspondence received from the ADNR 6(f) Grants Administrator. Summarize Section 404/10 Includes Abbreviated Permit Process, Nationwide Permit, and General Permit Coast Guard, Section 9 ADF&G Fish Habitat Permit (Title 16.05.871 and Title 16.05.841) Flood Hazard ADEC APDES Noise Eagle Permit

V. 1.		ation roject. Required if protected resources are involved.	<u>N/A</u>	YES □	<u>NO</u> □
2.					
3.	- · · · -	affidavit of publication as an appendix.			
4.	. Agency scoping letters. Date ser				
5.	. Agency scoping meeting. Date of	of meeting:			
6.	. Field review. Date:				
7.		ination efforts for this project. Discuss pertinent issues at demonstrates coordination and that there are no			
VI		nents and Mitigation Measures			
Lis	ist all environmental commitments	and mitigation measures included in the project.			
			N T/A	Yrna	NO
VI)	II. Environmental Documen		<u>N/A</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
	1. Do any unusual circumstance the CE Documentation form	es exist, as described in 23 C.F.R. 771.117 (b)? If yes, cannot be approved.			
	environment and that there are 771.117(b). As such, the project to prepare an environmental at the National Environmental Exertifies that it has carried out pursuant to Chapter 3 of title Memorandum of Understand	roval statement apply? at this project has no significant impact(s) on the re no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR ect is categorically excluded from the requirements assessment or environmental impact statement under Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby t, the responsibility to make this determination 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a ing dated September 20, 2012, executed between the the CE must be approved by FHWA.			
	Approval 2 authorized in the Approval Authority for Certa	ect meets the criteria of the DOT&PF Programmatic November 6, 2012 "CE Directive – Delegation of in CEs under 6004 MOU". If yes, the CE may be vironmental. If no, the CE may be approved by a			
	"Programmatic Categorical E in Alaska" between FHWA a	The project meets the criteria of the April 13, 2012 xclusion for Use on Federal-Aid Highway Projects and DOT&PF. If yes, the CE may be approved by the larger. If no, the CE may be approved by FHWA Area			

VIII. Environmental Documentation Approval Signatures

Prepared by:		Date:
	[Sign] Environmental Impact Analyst	
	[Print Name] Environmental Impact Analyst	
Reviewed by:	[Sign] Engineering Manager	Date:
	[Print Name] Engineering Manager	_
Approved by:	[Sign] Regional Environmental Manager	Date:
	[Print Name] Regional Environmental Manager	 -
Assigned CE Approved by:	[Sign] DOT&PF Statewide NEPA Manager	Date:
	[Print Name] DOT&PF Statewide NEPA Manager	
Non-Assigned CE Approved by:	[Sign] FHWA Area Engineer	Date:
	[Print Name] FHWA Area Engineer	

Expedited CE Approval Form

	for 6004 Program projects	
I.	Project Information:	
1.	Project Information: Project Name: Name	-==-
2.	Federal-aid Project Number: Federal Aid Number	11/2
3.	AKSAS (State Project Number): AKSAS	TE OF ALASKE
4.	List of attachments:	OF ALL
5.	Project Description:	
II. <u>F</u>	Expedited Categorical Exclusions:	
	Are all the project actions covered by Programmatic Approval 1 in the November 6, 2012 Chief Engineer Directive as actions that do not require a CE Documentation Form? • If yes, answer II.1.i. • If no, a CE Documentation Form is required. Programmatic Approval 1 does not apply.	☐ Yes ☐ No
i	. Does the following statement apply?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	"The State has determined that this project has no significant impact(s) on the environment and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out, the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated September 20, 2012, executed between the FHWA and the State"	
	• If yes, a CE Documentation Form is not required for the approval of this "c" list CE.	
	• If no, the action cannot be approved under Programmatic Approval 1.	

Notes:

2. Approved by:

Date: ____

[Printed Name and Signature] Regional Environmental Manager

Class of Action Consultation Form

6004 Program Assignability

I.	Project Information:	
1.	Project Name: Name	
2.	Federal-aid Project Number: Federal Aid Number	
3.	AKSAS (State Project Number): AKSAS	ATE OF ALASEL
4.	List of attachments:	OF ILL
5.	Project description:	
6.	Provide a brief discussion of probable impacts (23 CFR 771.111(b)):	
П.	Project Funding Information:	
	the project funded with Federal-aid Highway Program Funds?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	s the project is funded with federal funds other than Federal-aid Highway Program funds (FTA, AA, BIA, etc.)? If 'Yes', this project is not assignable under the 6004 MOU.	☐ Yes ☐ No
ш.	CE Applicability:	
	3 CFR 771.117(c)	
a.	Is the action identified on the "c" list?	☐ Yes ☐ No
b	. Identify the applicable "c" list action: 23 CFR 771.117(c)()	
	3 CFR 771.117(d)	
	Is the action identified on the "d" list?	∐ Yes ∐ No
b.	Identify the applicable "d" list action: 23 CFR 771.117(d)()	
IV.	6004 Program Assignability: Check all that apply	
ex pr	he project is 6004 assignable. This action is identified as a "c" list action or as a "d" list cample; AND currently no unusual circumstances are identified per 23 CFR 771.117(b). The roject should proceed in accordance with Chapter 3 of the Alaska 6004 Program Environmental rocedures Manual.	
2. T	he project is <u>not</u> 6004 assignable, due to:	
a.	This action has a federal funding source in addition to the FHWA Federal-aid Highway Program.	
b.	This action is not specifically identified on the "c" list or in the "d" list examples.	
c.	FHWA guidance indicates this type of activity does not fall within the 6004 MOU.	
If	Unusual circumstances exist per 23 CFR 771.117(b). any of the boxes in IV.2 are checked, then the project should proceed in accordance with hapter 2 of the Alaska Highway Environmental Procedures Manual.	
3. Re	ecommended by:	Date:
	[Printed Name and Signature] Regional Environmental Manager	
4. A _I	pproved by:	Date:
	[Printed Name and Signature] Statewide NEPA Manager	



Notes: